In vitro Testing of Experimental and Commercial Bracket Bonding Materials
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The aims of our study were to assess the cytotoxic effect of five orthodontic bonding materials, in vitro, on HUVECs to study the induction of apoptosis and inflammatory response generated to assess the shear-bond strength of the 5 tested materials in order to quantify their performance. Standardized samples from each material were obtained and incubated with HUVEC cells for 24 and 72 h immersed in complete medium. Cell viability was determined by means of MTS method. Active caspase 3 and TNFα protein levels were measured through ELISA techniques. The shear-bond strength was tested on 60 extracted premolars which were bonded with the same type of bracket, using the 5 different materials. Statistical analysis Student T-tests, Chi-square and Anova tests were used for results interpretation. Cell viability was decreased with material exposure in a time dependent manner. All materials exerted cytotoxic effects, the experimental materials showed a significantly higher decrease in cell viability at the 72 h reading. Shear Bond strength was superior for the resin commercial bracket-bonding materials. The study shows that orthodontic adhesives' cytotoxicity and physical performance is related to their chemical properties and proves that all orthodontic practitioners should use freely their material of choice on condition they are aware of all its' properties.
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Developments of orthodontic adhesives are continuous but their biocompatibility is an important issue for orthodontists. Studies present in the literature nowadays is either focusing on the biocompatibility, or the mechanical properties of commercially available orthodontic adhesives and very little research has been done in corroborating both aspects. Several studies from the literature have investigated the biocompatibility of orthodontic bonding materials and most of them concluded that the adhesives are cytotoxic to some degree [1]. They are responsible for hypersensitivity and allergic reactions, which in a chain reaction can lead to systemic involvement [2-4]. The ideal orthodontic adhesive should have good chemical and physical properties, be no irritating to surrounding tissue and be easy to manage [5]. Orthodontic adhesives are used to glue orthodontic attachments directly to the surface of the tooth. There are two types of adhesive material applied in orthodontic attachment bonding: resin base and resin hybrid glass ionomer base adhesives, but in both categories there is a large variety of commercial products with different physical properties. Some adhesives make direct contact with soft oral tissues and may cause irritation. [3,6] In orthodontic treatments, controlling periodontal tissue health is important. It is hypothesized that the orthodontic adhesives can induce gingival inflammation. Besides knowing the potential cytotoxic effect that a material might have, the physical performance of such a material should also be known in order for the orthodontist to choose wisely the best materials to work with.

In this study we evaluated the cytotoxic effects of 3 commercial orthodontic bonding materials, 1 flowable self-etching commercial composite and 1 new experimental resin modified glass-ionomer, in vitro, on human umbilical endothelial vein cultures (HUVEC). We also studied the induction of apoptosis and inflammatory response generated by these compounds, in an effort to find the pathological mechanism involved in generation of the cytotoxicity in vitro, as well as the clinical side effects. The physical performance of the materials was assessed by Shear-Bond Strength testing in standardized conditions.

Experimental part
Materials and methods
The adhesives used in the present study were:
- the resin base adhesive Light Bond (Reliance Orthodontic Products, Itasca, IL)
- the resin base adhesive Opal Bond MV (Opal Orthodontics, Ultradent)
- the resin self-etching composite Vertise (Kerr) - a commercial material with restorative indications, tested to search an eventual indication in bracket bonding
- the resin hybrid glass ionomer adhesive Fuji Ortho LC (GC)
- an experimental resin hybrid glass ionomer material developed at the Raluca Ripan Institute of Chemistry (URB)

The experimental resin hybrid glass-ionomer is a new chemical formula developed by the researchers from the Raluca Ripan Institute of Chemistry, for which the present testing was the first performance test.

In vitro testing
Bonding material sample preparation: Silicone moulds of a cylindrical metallic bar with dimensions of 4 X 4 mm were filled with orthodontic bonding material. 8 material
samples of each bonding and experimental material were obtained after polymerization of 40 s at each end of the mould, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Light-curing was performed using the Bluephase C8 lamp from IVOCLAR VIVADENT (Schaan Principality of Liechtenstein) avoiding air exposure. No grinding or polishing was performed on the resin samples. The sample thickness was measured in three areas using a digital calliper with an accuracy of 0.01 mm.

Cell source: Commercial human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were bought from European Collection of Cell Cultures (ECACC, Porton Down, and Salisbury, UK) and multiplied in standard medium: RPMI, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), gentamicin 50µg/ml, amphotericin 100µg/ml (Biochrom AG, Germany). Cell cultures in the 23rd and 26th passage were used.

Cell viability testing was done using CellTiter 96® AQueous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega Corporation, Madison, USA). HUVEC cultures seeded at a density of 10⁶/well in ELISA 96 wells micro titration flat bottom plates (TPP, Switzerland), were allowed to settle for 24h in medium, then exposed to biomaterial samples immersed in complete medium for 24 and 72 h; afterwards washed and settled for 24 h in complete medium. Cells were then treated with 20µL of [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, inner salt MTS/ (phenazine methosulfate) PMS mixture in 100µL complete medium for 2h. Absorbance was read at 490 nm, using an ELISA plate reader (Tecan, Switzerland). HUVEC cultures exposed only to medium were used as controls. Chemically inert glass samples with the same dimensions as the ones from the tested materials were used for the control cultures. The experiments were performed in triplicate. The number of cells was estimated, being directly correlated with the absorbance and the results are presented as % of initial value.

Microscopy: The Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) apoptosis detection kit was used in conjunction with the vital dye propidium iodide (PI) to identify late apoptotic (necrotic) cells (penetrated by PI); the kit was also used to identify viable cells (Annexin V-FITC negative) with intact cellular membranes as well as early apoptotic cells (Annexin V-FITC positive) which were not penetrated by PI [8]. HUVEC cultures were seeded on glass chamber slides (Nalgene, Rochester, NY, USA) at a density of 5x10⁴/cm² according to the manufacturer’s instructions and then fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde. Pictures were taken using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal laser microscope. The number of cells positive or negative for Annexin V and PI was counted for each sample, and cells were divided in the following two categories: (1) viable cells: Annexin V (-)/PI (-); (2) apoptotic cells: Annexin V (+)/PI (-) (in early apoptosis), and Annexin V (+)/PI (+) (in late apoptosis). At least 200 cells per sample were scored by eye, at a magnification of 63x. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

ELISA: HUVEC cultures seeded at a density of 10⁶/well in 6 well plates (TPP, Switzerland), were treated as described above (at viability testing). The human Quantikine Active Caspase-3 and TNF-α Immunoassay kits from R&D Systems, Inc (USA) were used. Cell extracts and, respectively supernatants were treated as indicated by the producer, readings were done at 450 nm with a correction wavelength set at 540 nm, using an ELISA plate reader (Tecan, Switzerland)[8-11].

Shear-Bond Strength testing

60 fresh human premolars (30 mandibular and 30 from the maxilla) were selected from extracted teeth from patients aged 16 to 25 years and stored in a solution of artificial saliva. The storage time varied from 8 to 11 weeks. Tooth selection criteria included those with intact enamel, no pretreatment with chemical agents (for example, hydrogen peroxide), no cracks, no lesions from the extraction forceps, and no caries or restorations. These teeth were extracted for orthodontic purposes and all patients agreed to donate their extracted teeth for science experiments. After professional cleaning procedures, teeth were embedded in acrylic resin with a label bearing the code of each sample and only the crowns of the teeth remained exposed.

The specimens were divided into 5 groups (n = 12) according to the material used for bracket bonding. The selection of teeth within each group was randomized but each group had 6 upper and 6 mandibular premolars.

60 standard edgewise stainless steel premolar brackets (0.022 X 0.028 inch slot) (GAC Orthodontic Products, New York, USA) were directly bonded to the labial surfaces of the teeth following the manufacturers bonding protocol for each of the materials. Afterwards all teeth were submitted to a thermo cycling procedure for 1,000 cycles at 5 degrees C and 55 degrees C with a dwell time of 20 s. After the accelerated staining/bleaching procedures, all samples were submitted to a Shear-Bond Strength test. The Machine of choice used for SBS testing was the LRSKPlus SkNUniversal Materials Testing Machine from Lloyd (West Sussex, UK). In order to test the SBS, without touching the enamel and while using a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min, a knife-edged shearing blade was positioned parallel to both the labial surface and the bracket interface to allow the transmission of the force in the occlusogingival direction. The force applied at the time of fracture was recorded in Newton’s (N) and converted to megapascals (Mpa) dividing the force by the bracket base area, which according to the manufacturer have a mesh base area of 0.115 cm².

Statistical analysis Student T-tests, Chi-square and Anova tests were used for results interpretation. T tests emphasize the differences that exist compared to controls, while chi square test had shown significant differences between materials. Results were considered significant for t≤10. The statistical package Origin Pro 8 SRO (OriginLab Corporation 2007, Northampton MA 01060, USA) was used for data analyses.

Results and discussions

Cell viability

As we can see in figure 1, the controls exhibited a significant proliferation at 72 h (p<0.001). In the treated cultures, there were significant cell viability differences among the tested materials.

Exposure of HUVEC cultures to both resin hybrid glassionomers (Fuji Ortho LC and Experimental) for 24 h greatly reduced the viability rate (t=64.56, t=56.93), whereas the resin-based materials treated cultures (Opal Bond, Light-bond and Vertise) showed better viabilities (t=4.76, t=3.29, t=3.15), with Light-bond being the most cytotoxic resin base adhesive, compared to Opal Bond and to Vertise. After 72 h all of the tested adhesive materials reduced HUVEC viability when compared with the control group, without significant differences amongst them.
Cell death induction

Exposure to the Experimental material increased the apoptotic, annexin V positive cells, compared to controls at both time points (t = 96.26 at 24 h and t = 110.6 at 72 h). The necrotic PI positive cells were not increased with 24 h of exposure (t = 9.61), but were greatly increased after 72 h (t = 156.37) (fig. 2, 3). The same effect was observed with the other resin hybrid glassionomer (Fuji Ortho LC), but the intensity was lower at 72 h of exposure (t = 76.61 at 24 h and t = 34.7 at 72 h, for annexin V positive cells and t = 101.9 at 24 h and t = 62.66 at 72 h, for PI positive cells) (fig. 2, 3). The resin base adhesives Light-bond, Opal-bond and Vertise showed a different pattern. They all increased the necrotic, PI positive cells, in a time dependent manner (t = 20.79 for Light-bond, t = 17.24 for Opal-bond and t = 63.160 for Vertise at 24 h and t = 95.66 for Light-bond, t = 96.75 for Opal-bond and t = 44.41 for Vertise at 72 h). The apoptotic annexin V positive cells were only increased at 72 h and the effect was more important for Opal Bond (t = 97.76). 72 h reading revealed an increased ratio of necrotic cells for the Experimental material, followed by Light Bond and Opal Bond. These findings suggest that the cell death mechanism is different for the two classes of materials studied. The resin hybrid glassionomers mainly induced apoptosis, while the resin base adhesives induced necrotic death. The experimental material increased necrotic death after 72 h of exposure, but this was not the primary mechanism of cell death, and in our opinion it occurred due to lack of stability of the compound in the culture media, with long term exposure, which allowed toxic substances to accumulate.

The control culture displayed a regular morphology with dense cells, elongated and cobblestone-shaped in appearance, with long slender elongations and numerous mitoses.

With the resin based tested materials and the Fuji-Ortho, the culture appeared less dense than the control, but normal cells were available. There were only a few rounded or detached cells. While materials led to enlargement of the intercellular space, the cells kept their cobblestone shape. Some cells were in apoptosis showing degenerative effects such as loss of cellular elongations, vacuolization of the cytoplasm, loss of surface adherence and polymorphism.

Most cells exposed to media containing the Experimental materials were significantly retracted, rounded or float in the culture medium, and also an increased intercellular space was noted.

Caspase 3 is the common pathway for the apoptotic cell death. Measurement of the caspase 3 was done through ELISA technique and is a marker of early apoptotic cell death. The control cultures exhibited no significant difference with time in caspase 3 levels. Experimental and Fuji Ortho LC materials significantly increased caspase 3 at 24 h (t = 15.25, t = 20.21). This effect was not as important at 72 h (t = 11.16, t = 6.65). Interestingly, the Experimental material has shown a lower production of caspase 3 when compared to Fuji Ortho LC. This is probably due to the decrease of the overall cell number and thus the production of caspase 3. This pattern of caspase 3 induced by the two resin hybrid glassionomers, is consistent with annexin V/PI staining results and sustains the idea that the main mechanism of cell death in the cultures is increased apoptosis.
The other three resin based materials Light-bond, Opal-bond and Vertise showed lower caspase 3 values at 24 h (t=7.39, t=22.54, t=20.56), but it increased with time exposure (t=12.27, t=11.58, t=2.67). Opal Bond had the most important proapoptotic effect. This is also consistent with the annexin V/PI findings which showed increased apoptosis with time exposure. These findings, suggest that the main mechanism of cell death induced by the resin based materials materials is in fact necrosis, and only after longer time, e.g. 72 h of exposure, the cell death is partly apoptotic.

**TNFα** is a marker of inflammatory reaction. It was measured from cell culture supernatants through ELISA technique. The control cultures showed a decrease with time. Experimental material did not significantly modify the TNFα, compared to controls at 24 h of exposure (t=0.74). However, longer exposure greatly increased the level of TNFα (approximately 8x, t=117.55). The same pattern of TNFα modification was seen in the case of Vertise, with a slight increase after 24 h (t=7.13), then an important increase at 72 h (t=71.28). When HUVEC cultures were exposed to the other three materials, Fuji Ortho LC, Light Bond and Opal Bond MV there was a different effect, with an initial increase at 24 h (t=79.32 for Fuji Ortho LC, t=159.233 for Light Bond, t=98.16 for Bond MV), followed by a slight decrease in the case of Fuji Ortho LC (t=77.21) and Light Bond (t=91.88) at 72 h. Opal bond MV treated cultures showed levels of TNFα comparable with those of controls at 72 h (t=11.20).

Shear Bond Strength testing

There was no statistical difference in the mean SBS amongst the commercial resin material groups (Opal Bond MV and Light Bond). However there was an important difference (p=0.0236) between them and Vertise, the latter having shown a very low resistance to shear bond stress.
It is important to evaluate the biocompatibility of the orthodontic bonding adhesives, because these materials are located proximate to the periodontal tissue and alveolar bone. Substances released from orthodontic composites may cause a reaction (inflammation or necrosis) to adjacent tissues, such as oral mucosa, gingiva or alveolar bone. There are different ways in which materials may influence the health of soft tissues: by delivering water-soluble components into the saliva and the oral cavity as well as by directly interacting with adjacent tissues [11]. The orthodontic adhesives were tested in vitro, on cell cultures to simulate the clinical setting as closely as possible. HUVEC cells and gingival fibroblasts have previously been shown to have similar cytotoxicity levels. Consequently, HUVEC cells make a useful screening model for in vitro toxicity testing of dental materials [12]. Because of its excellent reproducibility, the HUVEC cell line was preferred to primary gingival fibroblasts. However, in vitro cytotoxicity testing conditions cannot perfectly simulate the intra-oral conditions because in the last situations there are enzymes able to neutralize some of the side effects. Different orthodontic adhesives were found to have varying toxicity levels in vitro and some were shown to lose their toxicity more rapidly than others. A study of dental composites found that a chemically cured composite is significantly more cytotoxic than a light-cured composite of similar composition [1, 12]. Dental composite cytotoxicity has previously been shown to decrease significantly after 7 days of pre-incubation [13,14]. Therefore, fresh specimens have shown an increased cytotoxicity compared to other studies that used pre-incubated materials for 7 days.

In our study we measured cell viability as an indicator of the overall cytotoxic effect. All tested materials were found to be cytotoxic. The experimental material showed a significantly higher cytotoxicity, probably due to a less stable formula and needs further testing. In our study, we used light-cured, fresh samples, which might partially explain the high cytotoxicity levels. Also, the amount of material contained in each sample was significantly higher than the amount needed to bond one bracket. We further studied the mechanism of cell death induction and inflammatory reaction since these can directly influence the clinical outcome of the therapy. Interestingly, there were significant differences in the evolution of cytotoxicity with time exposure as well as the cell death mechanism, related to the chemical structure of the compounds. The Resin-hybrid glassionomer base materials showed an increased cytotoxic effect at the 24 hour reading when compared to the resin-based but they all exhibited similar levels at the 72 h reading. Based on annexin V/FITC staining and caspase 3 levels, the main mechanism of cell death in the cultures treated with the resin hybrid glassionomers is increased apoptosis, while the resin based materials induced mainly necrotic cell death. This can be of clinical importance since necrosis leads to cell desintegration and spilling of the cells content, including lysosomal enzymes directly in the intercellular space. This damages the intercellular matrix and leads to an inflammatory reaction in the surrounding tissue.

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) represents a pro-inflammatory cytokine that stimulate a number of events like: the induction of adhesion molecules and other mediators that facilitate and amplify the inflammatory response, the stimulation of matrix metalloproteinase, and bone resorption. Elevated TNF-α levels in saliva were correlated with the severity of oral lichen planus, a chronic inflammatory disease of the oral mucosa as well as with squamous cell carcinoma. Even though all tested materials increased TNFα production from treated HUVEC cells, the dynamic of the increase was strongly influenced by the chemical composition. Resin-hybrid glassionomers increased TNFα in a time dependent manner, while the resin based materials increased TNFα levels at 24 h, afterwards, the inflammatory marker decreased to almost control values for Opal Bond [10].

There are numerous factors influencing bond strength between adhesives and both structures, which makes interpretation of the results difficult. To obtain meaningful information about the performance of adhesives, fracture analysis is a condition sine qua non. Although shear bond strength was different amongst the studied materials, fracture analysis on teeth can give similar qualitative information.

All tested adhesives had a better behaviour than the tested self etch adhesive (Vertise). We observed a better adhesion performance with commercial brackets adhesives than with the experimental one. This result is probably due to a relatively less degree of conversion of the polymerized composite and adhesives in the experimental materials. Moreover, the statistical analysis suggested that the adhesive interface resulting between the tooth and the resin-based commercial adhesives had a better behavior than resin-based glassionomers. With the limitations of a test in which many variables were not included, such as, for example, the aging of the samples, this study, without pointing out the single values, gives us the possibility to compare different adhesive’s performances in simulated clinical conditions.

Conclusions

On the basis of collected data and among tested adhesives, the Light Bond adhesive confirmed the best characteristics between operating difficulties and the gained adhesion. When looking at the in vitro study, Light Bond, but also Opal Bond had the lowest cytotoxic effects. However,
further studies using different test methods are needed for these materials. Research efforts should focus on assessing long-term biologic effects of orthodontic adhesives.
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