Mechanical Behaviour of Orthopaedic Cement Loaded with Antibiotics in the Operation Room
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Using antibiotic impregnated cement is an important mean to treat and prevent periprosthetic joint infections. This complication requires for treatment important financial and technical resources. Adding antibiotics to orthopaedic cement may alter its mechanical properties with possible reduced time of prosthesis survival rate. This study analyze the mechanical properties of cement mixed with antibiotics in the operation room, which helps reducing costs and permit a more specific antibiotic local therapy. All tested antibiotics used in this study does not change the mechanical behavior of the orthopaedic cement when mixed in therapeutic doses, all alterations seems to be at a level below clinical significance. Mixing antibiotics in Orthopedic cement leads to minor decrease of the mechanical properties for cefazolin, cefuroxime, ceftazidime, meropenem and vancomycin and a mechanical significant decrease for gentamicin and clindamycin.
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With increasing number of total joint replacements performed worldwide, there are also an increased number of periprosthetic joint infections, despite all measures taken against this important complication. Failures cause new complications for patients, lengthen the healing process and increase cost. [1] One of the means an orthopaedic surgeon has against these infections is the use of antibiotic loaded cement (polymethylmethacrylate) (PMMA) obtained by polymerizing the methyl methacrylate monomer (MMA). This can be used as prophylaxis [2, 3], for the aseptic joint replacement or as treatment during different stages of septic revisions [4]. Mixing antibiotics in orthopaedic cement allows local delivery on antibiotics at the implant site immediately after surgery [5]. There are only few antibiotics preloaded in the orthopaedic cement by the producing companies and with a significant increased cost for each cement dose, available in Europe since 1970 and in United States from 2003 [6].

Modern treatment requires specific antibiotherapy, so the need for various antibiotics loaded in the cement for an accurate treatment. The solution is to mix different antibiotics in the cement. An antibiotic to be mixed with the cement must be thermally stable with the exothermic reaction of polymerization, must not compromise the mechanical integrity of the cement and must elute from the cement for a certain period of time [7]. There are few studies regarding the mechanical properties of these cements mixed in the operating theatre [8, 9], the majority of studies are targeted on the dose and time of local released antibiotic [10-13].

Our study analyzes the mechanical properties of orthopaedic cement mixed with different antibiotics compared with the original nonloaded native cement, as a factor that may influence the survival rate of the prosthesis.

Experimental part

For this analysis we have used Palamed Cement produced by Heraeus. For each bar we have mixed two portions of cement (2 x 40 g). Temperature for mixing the cement was 18 degrees Celsius, controlled in the operation room. For the creation of the experimental bars we have mixed the cement with the vacuum mixing device as for the real surgery. Postak in 2006 show that the vacuum mixed cement with Gentamicin specimens had the greatest fatigue life, the the hand-mixed was the weakest and strongly suggests that both hand-blending and open hand-mixing of antibiotics at the time of surgery should be avoided. This study and the necessity of having more homogenous cement determined us to use the vacuum-mixing device for the cement [14].

In the recipient of the vacuum mixing device we have introduced first the cement powder, then the antibiotic and then the polymerizing solvent. After mixing, the material was mould in a shape 63 x 63 mm and pushed with a metal top for pressurizing the material inside the shape. After the polymerization each piece was measured. Using this technique we have realized 16 bars, two for each antibiotic selected and two without antibiotic as reference for the original orthopaedic cement. We have used antibiotics known for preserving their biologic properties after the polymerization of the cement and in doses recommended by the Cincinnati 2013 Consensus for treating periprosthetic joint infections, as shown in table 1.

Each of the 16 experimental bars was randomized numbered from 1 to 16. The bars were then blinded tested by the engineering team. The large 63 x 63 mm bars were then cut with high pressurised water machine in 30 x 30 x 22 mm bars. We have chosen this type of bars because of their flat surfaces that can be measured easily using the main system Aramis strains.

One of the 30 x 30 mm surface of each bar was then prepared for image acquisition with the Optic System Aramis Gom. For this, samples were prepared in such a way that there are flat parallel surfaces of each bar. Usually, the preparation of samples for optical analysis is done by applying a white paint on open area analyzed in order to increase contrast. Since cement both standard sample and mixed with different types of antibiotics had a light green color (fig. 1) it was not necessary to apply this coating of paint. This is beneficial because in many cases this layer influences the values of the major and minor strain. Thus, the area under review was sprayed just with a fine powder black of graphite. Aramis system cameras used was two cameras with a fixed focal length of 50 mm. The cameras
Apertures were set at 5.6 to obtain a better image clarity and a better accuracy of the results, even if an opening of 2.8 it has been possible to set. The exposure time was 125 ms. Images were acquired during compression test until the moment of the first cracks appears.

We have used the rectangular bars with dimensions 30 x 30 x 22.5 mm as described before. To conduct the tests we have used the Machine for testing compression and buckling Instron 5587, which has a maximum force of 300 kN, as shown in figure 1.

Results and discussions

As standards requires, the compression test ends when the first crack occurred. For this reason and in order to determine also the local strains in parallel with the compression test, using Aramis program, we have acquired images with an acquisition rate of 1 image / s.

Maximum compression stress does not represent a value that can be taken into account because it depended on moment when the test machine senses the breaking point. For the same reason we cannot evaluate the deformation corresponding to the maximum compression. Therefore, in all cases, bone cement having elastic-plastic behavior we have analyzed the elasticity modulus values (E modulus), yield strength (Yield stress) and Compression Strain value before the first cracks. Data on test results are shown in table 2.

It should be noted that the tests were repeated five times for each set of specimens, in table 2 are shown the average values obtained for each set of bars in part.

In figure 2 are shown the curves of the compression test on coordinates: compression stress – compression strain. Figure 2a presented the curves for: Standard Cement, Cement + Cefazolin, Cement + Cefuroxime, Cement + Ceftazidime and in the figure 2b for Cement + Meropenem, Cement + Vancomycin, Cement + Gentamicin, Cement + Clindamycin. For figure 2 is shown one curve for each set of test specimens (bars).

In figure 3 we can observe one specimen mounted between the wedges of the compression machine at the start and at the end of compression test, when the first crack occurred. One can easily see that by the Poisson effect the specimen show both a deformation on the direction of compression and a deformation to the lateral faces of the bar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>International Name</th>
<th>Dose for 40 grams Cement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lyzolin</td>
<td>Cefazolin</td>
<td>2 grams powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefuroxime</td>
<td>Cefuroxime</td>
<td>1.5 grams powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem A</td>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>1 gram powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin Kria</td>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>240 milligrams solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftamil</td>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>2 grams powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>1 gram powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
<td>1200 milligrams solution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Bar</th>
<th>The E modulus [MPa]</th>
<th>The yield stress [Mpa]</th>
<th>The compression strain before fracture [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Cement</td>
<td>1844</td>
<td>93.77</td>
<td>33.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Cefazolin</td>
<td>1593</td>
<td>85.43</td>
<td>39.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Cefuroxime</td>
<td>1776</td>
<td>82.39</td>
<td>31.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Ceftazidime</td>
<td>1774</td>
<td>92.30</td>
<td>34.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Meropenem</td>
<td>1713</td>
<td>85.98</td>
<td>29.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Vancomycin</td>
<td>1782</td>
<td>84.65</td>
<td>37.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Gentamicin</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>27.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Clindamycin</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>49.55</td>
<td>29.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Table 2

VALUES OF E MODULUS, YIELD STRESS AND COMPRESSION STRAIN FOR BARS BEFORE THE APPARITION OF FIRST CRACKS DURING COMPRESSION TEST
In case of Standard Cement we have obtained values of 1844 MPa for E modulus, 93.77 MPa for Yield stress respectively 33.78 MPa to the strain before fracture.

From the results shown in table 2 and from the compression test charts shown in figure 2 we can observe that the E modulus value decreases with the addition of antibiotics in all 7 cases presented, so by introducing the antibiotic the orthopaedic cement gains in elasticity. This finding is sustained by the results of a study that Bohm published in 2012, analyzing the migration of femoral component using radiostereometric analysis (RSA), he conclude that there was clinically no significant differences in stem subsidence or retroversion between antibiotic loaded cement and control group during a two-year follow-up period, suggesting that there might be no clinical significant decrease in cement properties by mixing antibiotics [15].

In the case of cement with gentamicin and clindamycin is found a significant decrease in mechanical strength, we have obtain the Yield stress values of 47.29 MPa in the case that cement plus gentamicin and 49.55 MPa for cement plus clindamycin. If we compared these results to the amount of Yield stress standard for cement we found decreased resistance properties with 50.43% when adding gentamicin, respectively 47.16% when adding clindamycin. Strain Before Fracture also decrease in the two cases: 27.83% gentamicin, respectively 29.81% clindamycin. Even if E modulus decreases in all cases we have observed that for the two above mentioned samples (mixed with gentamicin and clindamycin) its value decreases by 34.76% with gentamicin respectively 39.75% with clindamycin. These findings can be explained by the fact that only two of the seven analyzed antibiotics are introduced into the mixture in the liquid state. These results are consistent with the findings of Seldes. In a study published in 2005 he find also lower mechanical properties of cement containing liquid gentamicin, but due to its antibacterial properties and cost-effectiveness he recommend the usage of these combination [16].

We have also found that the addition of any of these two antibiotics in the standard cement results in a major deterioration of the mechanical strength properties. However, Yield stress values are values for these two cases are satisfactory considering the high forces used for the compression test, so the deterioration of cement mechanical properties does not appear to alter its behavior.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Bar</th>
<th>Major strain [%]</th>
<th>Minor strain [%]</th>
<th>Von Mises strain [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard Cement</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>69.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Cefazolin</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Cefuroxime</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Cefazidime</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>60.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Meropenem</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Vancomycin</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Gentamicin</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement + Clindamycin</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
VALUES MAJOR STRAIN, MINOR STRAIN AND VON MISES STRAIN FOR BARS IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE APPARITION OF FIRST CRACKS DURING COMPRESSION TEST
in normal condition inside human body, local forces being much lower than the ones used in the present test.

During the compression tests on the Instron machine, the samples were analyzed with the optical analyzer of the company Aramis Gom. Images were acquired until after the moment of the first cracks. In table 3 the results obtained are presented for Major strain, Minor strain and Von Mises strain for the eight tests (one for each antibiotic plus the standard cement) immediately after the appearance of the first cracks.

From the study data presented in table 3 we can observe that the presence of the antibiotic lead to an insignificant change of behavior in terms of major strain, minor strain and Von Mises strain with decreased values in comparison with standard cement when cefazolin, cefuroxime, ceftazidime, meropenem and vancomycin were added to the cement. A significant decreasing occurs when gentamicin and clindamycin were added, noticing values significantly reduced compared to standard cement. We can then conclude that cement mixed with the two antibiotics supports significantly lower local deformations at compression, this leading to a decrease in mechanical strength, as it has been found also in the compression test curve. Lautenschlager obtained similar results with our study, showing that adding high-dose (>4.5 g of gentamicin powder per 40g cement) or the admixture of liquid antibiotics could cause a decrease in mechanical strength of antibiotic cement [17].

In figures 4, 5, and 6 are presented the optical analysis results for cement + meropenem sample at different degrees of deformation, corresponding to compression of 10%, 20% and immediately after appearance of the first cracks in the specimen.
Fig. 6 Strain results after the first crack appearance
a) Total displacement [mm] after the first crack appearance
b) Major strain [%] after the first crack appearance
c) Minor strain [%] after the first crack appearance
d) Von Mises strain after the first crack appearance

The Aramis program allows the determination of several different types of deformations: Logarithmic Strain, Green Strain and Engineering Strain. Of these, we have chosen to present Engineering Strain. By analyzing images in the figures above it can be seen that, as expected, major strain, minor strain and Von Mises strain values are increasing with the degree of deformation, reaching at the end of the test values of 36.8 for major strain, 34.7 for minor strain and 50.6 for Von Mises strain. These maximal values appear in the diagonal direction of the specimen at an angle of 45 degrees to the direction of compression force application since the degree of deformation is 10%. With the increasing of compression local maximal deformation occurred on the other diagonal that is still 45 degrees, which is breaking shear specific. One can also notice that due to the Poisson effect specimen widening occurs, the degree of widening increasing with increasing deformation.

Bucholz already in 1984 suggest that antibiotics should not be added in liquid form, as this can lead to a 40% reduction in compressive strength in vitro, but the addition of 2 g of gentamicin, cloxacillin or cefazolin to a 40 g mix of polymer has no significant effect on the short-term mechanical properties of Simplex-P (Stryker Orthopaedics) and Palacos-R (Heraeus Medical Gmbh) cements [18]. The few mechanical studies performed have assessed the static short-term mechanical properties, but these are less clinically relevant than the fatigue life or visco-elastic properties [19]. There is a need for continued research performed under standardized conditions and using modern techniques of cement preparations [20].

The limits of this study are related to the fact that we have used a reduced number of experimental bars which does not allow a statistical relevant analysis, the loading test was not performed at 37 degrees Celsius, which might be susceptible to influence the elastic properties of the cement (as in human body), and there is no fatigue testing. Nevertheless, all tests reveal same mechanical behavior of all bars.

Sanz-Ruiz, in a study published in 2013 show that antibiotic selection did not influence wear properties of the cement tested in dry conditions, however, in liquid medium, there were higher frictional coefficients and wear for vancomycin and cefazolin loaded cement. These results suggest that antibiotic cements behave differently in liquid and that the molecular characteristics of antibiotics are essential for determining this influence. Therefore, we need more studies to fully understand the mechanical properties of antibiotic loaded cement [21].

The data obtained in this study will be the starting points for analyses using the finite element method of endoprostheses that use mixtures of cement - antibiotic (mentioned in this paper) for fixation. Finite element analysis (FEA) is used widely since the 1960's with applications in the fields of engineering and bioengineering [22].

Conclusions
Mixing antibiotics in Orthopedic cement leads to minor decrease of the mechanical properties for cefazolin, cefuroxime, ceftazidime, meropenem and vancomycin and a mechanical significant decrease for gentamicin and clindamycin.

This important alteration of mechanical properties for gentamicin and clindamycin is probably due to the fact that the two antibiotics are mixed in the liquid state in contrast to the other antibiotics which are in powder form. However, in the case of gentamicin and clindamycin this decrease of the mechanical properties does not lead to major problems in the mechanical behavior of the mixture, the real demands for the antibiotic cement mixture being lower that the deformation limit values.

All tested antibiotics used in this study does not change the mechanical behavior of the orthopaedic cement when mixed in therapeutic doses, all alterations seems to be at a level below clinical significance.
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