The Hazardous Activity of Yeasts Embedded in Biofilm and Planktonic Estimated Through the Effectiveness of four Commonly Used Biocidal Conditionings
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The aim of study was to analyse the activity of four biocides. Assessment was made using the methodology described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI); Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts, M27-A3 Approved Standard, in: Candida sake, C. albicans, C. lusitaniae and Rhodotorulla rubra. The outcome, related to the culture2’s cut-off value of optical density (O.D.) was analysed statistically (p = 0.05 or lower) proving that Candida albicans was capable of generating strong biofilms, in the resistance setting (p = 0.001).
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Due to the effective therapeutic strategies, microbiology has been swiftly advancing but, the quick-witted fungi remain those that have the ability to decide about their eco-evolution and the way they are manifested. Numerous studies describe the role of biofilm, in greater extent, the bacterial, and in lesser extent, the fungal, suggesting reliable control means for it [1-3]. Biofilm produced by Candida, can form everywhere. It can be found on living or inert surfaces, in humid environment [4], from pipes and installation surfaces in livestock and food industry [5], domestic setting [6], dental and orthopaedic prostheses [7], cardiovascular devices [8], contact lenses [9], urinary catheters, implants, tracheal tubes etc. [10]. It has been shown that inside the biofilm, the organisms behave differently, become more resistant and exhibit large dimorphism in their expansion [11-13].

Antifungal biocides, unlike antibiotics, (which act selectively on the target cell), are acting on one or more sites, such as: cell wall, proteins, enzymes, ribosomes and DNA. Furthermore, an increase in resistance rate to antibiotics/antifungal products, biocides / decontaminating products, and a redistribution of various microorganism species to one, or more than one, cellular structures/sites, have been detected, and this, is regularly followed by undesirable effects in humans and animals [14-16]. Several methods, from non-standardised to standardised have been proposed, with the antifungal susceptibility testing (AST) becoming an accepted methodology for human and veterinary medicine. Various approaches for determining the fungal susceptibility to biocides are based on principles used in antibiograms [17-20]. The microplate technique is easy to use for both bacteria and fungi, and can be adapted in various test settings [21-24].

Our previous research carried out in animal farms, have investigated 544 strains from 9 genera of filamentous fungi and two yeast genera. From all the isolated strains, Aspergillus and Candida had the highest occurrence rate (42.4%) [25]. The analysis has revealed that bedding and surfaces that come in contact with animals, including watering and feeding systems, were the most involved, confirming that these are crucial sources of the fungal infection in animal facilities, and for which the action of biocides is required [26-29].

In this paper, it is presented an efficiency study, broadly relevant, of four biocide treatments commonly used in the veterinary field against yeasts, using the Minimal Fungicide Concentration methodology, with the aim of displaying them resistance tendency.

Experimental part

The composition of commercial biocides and the dilutions used for testing the biocidal effect in our analysis, are presented in table 1.

Biocidal evaluation was done using the methodology described by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts; Approved Standard - Third Edition M27-A3 [23]. Yeast strains used were: Candida sake, C. albicans, C. lusitaniae and Rhodotorulla rubra, isolated from the sanitation samples collected in the visited farms.

The yeast isolation and identification

Sampling was performed according to the Romanian norm of sampling [30] and the EU methodology. Identification was based on cultural, macro / microscopic and biochemical features found in literature [31, 32].

Biofilms cultivation and examination

To obtain the biofilms in vitro, a model proposal was used after Shin [8] and the quantification of results was adapted from an experimental model described by Djordjevic [18]. All the tests were performed in duplicates, with the biofilm evaluation being expressed in optical density units (O.D.). Interpretation of results was done after Stepanovia [33], by relating to a cut-off value O.D. or O.D.c threshold interpretation. To evaluate cells viability embedded in biofilm, staining with resazurin, a cellular redox indicator, was performed, after Sittampalam [34].

The Minimum Fungicidal Concentration (MFC) methodology

A settled amount of fungal culture was put into contact with serial dilutions of the biocide. After 24 h incubation, the culture appearance in the liquid medium was observed.
Statistical methods

The statistical analysis was performed on compared optical density (O.D.) values, by GraphPad Prism 5.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, USA) Nonparametric Friedman test was used in analysis and Dunn’s Multiple Comparison as a post-test, to p = 0.05 or less.

Results and discussions

Evaluation of biocides on planktonic yeasts

Tables 2 and 3 present the biocides efficiency assay results.

The analysis revealed that the concentrations recommended by the manufacturer for tested A-D biocides, were higher than the Minimum Fungicidal Concentrations (MFC) determined, suggesting that tested biocides are effective at the concentrations recommended only in yeast strains tested in planktonic state. Data has shown that biocides A, B and C at the concentrations recommended by the manufacturer’s guide, both for prophylactic and necessary decontamination, were lower than MFC determined for some yeast strains embedded in biofilm.

Evaluation of the biocides on yeast strains embedded in biofilms

To assess the biocidal activity of the commercial products on yeast strains embedded in biofilm, using MFC was determined: a). yeast isolates ability to form biofilms; b). yeast cell viability embedded in biofilms and, c). microscopical examination of the produced biofilms.

Testing the yeast cell viability embedded in biofilms

Out of 23 yeast strains that formed biofilm, seven were selected to determine their viability in the biofilm: (1). Rhodotorulla rubra - strain 2; (2). C. albicans - strain 6; (3). C. lusitaniae - strain 1; (4). C. sake - strain 1; (5). C. famata - strain 1; (6). C. rugosa - strain 1 and (7). C. albicans - strain 5.

For each strain it was allocated one column / row (a-h) with 8 wells: 1 (a-h) - Rhodotorulla rubra strain 2; 2 (a-h) - Candida albicans strain 6; 3 (a-h) - Candida lusitaniae strain 1; 4 (a-h) - Candida famata strain 1; 6 (a-h) - Candida rugosa strain 1; 7 (a-h) - Candida albicans strain 5; 8 (a-h) - Negative control - Culture medium only.

The microplates appearance with: formed biofilm (A), before incubation and after resazurin coloration (B), the presence of living cells after the microplates incubation (C and D) of the seven yeasts strains studied and the negative control are presented in figure 1.

Microscopy of the biofilm

The yeast samples, after colouring with white calcofluor, appeared as light green. The microscopic images of biofilm formed at the magnification x25 is shown in figure 2.
The images presented in figure 2, have shown that embedded yeast cells generated biofilm from all categories, from strong to weak. Microplates observation has revealed an active metabolism confirming the presence of live cells. Statistical data analysis revealed that if the tests are repeated under the same conditions (standard deviation = 1.3268; standard error = 0.2708), the average of cases (1.2817), will be within 95% confidence interval (lowest - upper) I95 = 0.7214 to 1.8420, and 5% outside this range. The results obtained at a probability of p = 0.05 and a value of t = 2.069, value corresponding to freedom degrees of n-1, do not exceed the value of 2 ± ts, that is in the range (- 0.7451; + 4.7451).

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Biocide</th>
<th>Concentrations %</th>
<th>Planktonic strains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: + = yeast present; - = yeast absent

Table 3

MINIMUM FUNGICIDAL CONCENTRATION (MFC) DETERMINED FOR THE BIOCIDAL PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Biocide</th>
<th>Minimum Fungicidal Concentration (MFC) Determined for the Bioidal Products</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Biocide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Candida sake</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.0 2.0 1.0 0.125 0.062 0.031 0.015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.0 3.50 1.75 0.875 0.437 0.218 0.109 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.0 2.0 1.50 0.75 0.375 0.187 0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>12.0 5.0 3.0 1.50 0.75 0.375 0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Candida albicans</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.0 2.0 1.0 0.125 0.062 0.031 0.015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.0 3.50 1.75 0.875 0.437 0.218 0.109 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.0 2.0 1.50 0.75 0.375 0.187 0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>12.0 5.0 3.0 1.50 0.75 0.375 0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Candida tropicalis</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.0 2.0 1.0 0.125 0.062 0.031 0.015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.0 3.50 1.75 0.875 0.437 0.218 0.109 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.0 2.0 1.50 0.75 0.375 0.187 0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>12.0 5.0 3.0 1.50 0.75 0.375 0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rhodotorula rubra</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.0 2.0 1.0 0.125 0.062 0.031 0.015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.0 3.50 1.75 0.875 0.437 0.218 0.109 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.0 2.0 1.50 0.75 0.375 0.187 0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>12.0 5.0 3.0 1.50 0.75 0.375 0.187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
emerging infections. In the last decade, the presence of its medical importance, frequency, and recurrence of Candida albicans-related infections, associated with certain number one enemies in the antifungal sanitation have shown that the yeasts embedded in biofilms are in planktonic and biofilm environments. Statistical data of four commonly used biocide treatments against yeasts 3. in the various fungal resistance mechanisms exerted [1-3].

Our results bear a resemblance to the values shown by other authors who demonstrated that Candida cells within a biofilm structure show a reduced susceptibility to specific commonly used antifungals [35]. We have also demonstrated in our study of biocide C, that it was ineffective at the recommended concentration of 2%, on biofilm formed by Candida albicans and in smaller extent, by C. sake. In spite of the fact that C. albicans is still considered the most frequent candidian pathogen, we also observed an increase in the presence of Candida rugosa, Candida lusitaniae, Candida sake, Candida famata, with the last three producing a strong biofilm and impeding with time the biocidal structures in decontamination, suggesting a future direct or indirect resistance. This observation has also been previously made by other researchers in human and veterinary field [36-39].

The vibrant evolution of fungi constitutes an important issue, with the multifaceted defence measures being required to tackle them. These are not easy to eradicate and thus, became a significant threat, confirmed by the fungal resistance mechanisms presented in literature and regulated by the European legislation [40].

**Conclusions**

It was ascertained that Candida spp. and especially Candida albicans are capable to generate strong biofilms, as a prime step in the resistance tendency setting, with high significant statistical probability ($p = 0.001$). This deleterious activity was proven by the biocides' efficiency results in the case of Candida albicans embedded in biofilms, where products A, B and C tested, have proven to be inefficient to certain concentrations, usually recommended in necessity or prophylactic decontamination. This study warns about the hazardous and highly dynamic characteristic of resistance predisposition for Candida albicans embedded in biofilms, providing information that will enable some restored considerations about the prevalence of these yeasts.
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