Temperature ($T_g$), the block-copolymers exhibit plastic temperatures above the polystyrene glass transition ensuring the physical crosslinking of the polydiene. At blocks as dispersed domains into the diene phase, thus ambient temperature due to the segregation of polystyrene composition present vulcanized elastomer properties at polymers, usually during their processing [1-4].

Diversifying these properties is the melt compounding of proved that an easier technical and economical method to provide the properties required by various applications. It was their physical-mechanical characteristics in order to ensure production has led to an increasing need for diversifying their requirements for styrene-diene block-copolymers characterization.

The properties of styrene-diene block-copolymers are presented in table 1. BASF polystyrene (Polystyrol 143) used as reinforcing agent in this paper presented the following properties:
- Tensile strength: 48 MPa
- Elongation at break: 2.2 %
- Izod impact strength: 3.1 KJ/ m²
- Melt mass flow rate at 190°C, load of 5 kg: 3.1 g/10 min.

The composites were achieved by polystyrene dosing into the 20% toluene solution under stirring until the complete dissolution.

Using of toluene as solvent is indicated by literature [12-14] because it is a non-selective solvent for polystyrene and polybutadiene, respectively polysisoprene blocks thus avoiding the morphological changes in the biphasic structure of styrene-diene block-copolymers that could perturb the correct interpretation of the reinforcing effect.

The polystyrene was dosed in steps of 5% until 30%, the maximum limit being imposed to maintain the continuous polydiene phase in which polystyrene was dispersed as reinforcing agent [7].

The composites of styrene-diene block-copolymers modified with polystyrene were obtained by dissolving the blends solutions by centrifugal casting [15, 17]. The solvent was complete removed in a vacuum oven at 60 °C.

The composites tensile properties were determined on stamped specimens from the obtained sheets with a 0.8-1 mm thickness, using a FPZ 100 dynamometer, with an elongation rate of 500 mm/min, according to SR EN ISO 527-96.

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) determinations were carried out with a Q2000 DSC (TA Instruments) assisted by a Liquid Nitrogen Cooling System (LNCS), in the temperature range room temperature (RT) ~ +150°C, using stainless steel pans and helium (99.9999%) as purge gas at a flow rate of 30 mL/min. Method: 1: Ramp 40°C/min from RT to 150°C; 2: Isothermal for 2 min; 3: Ramp 40°C/ min from 150°C to RT; 4: Isothermal for 2 min; 5: Ramp 20°C/min from RT to 150°C.

Dynamic mechanical properties of elastomer composites were determined on a Q 800 Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer (TA Instruments) using the Universal
Analysis 2000 software for processing of the results. The obtained sheets with dimensions 10 x 10 mm (length x width) were cut by two using a perforating punches according to the apparatus instructions and the working method. The determinations were carried out from room temperature to 165 °C, with a heating rate of 3°C/min, amplitude of 15 µm, frequency of 1 Hz, using the shear sandwich clamp.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out with a Q5000IR TGA (TA Instruments) in the temperature range: RT (room temperature) ~ + 700 °C, using platinum pans and nitrogen (99.999%) as purge gas at a flow rate of 50 mL/min. Method: 1: Ramp 10 °C/min from RT to 700 °C; 2: Select gas 2 (Synthetic Air, 99.999%); 3: Isothermal for 5 min.

The materials hardness was determined on a Shore A durometer.

**Results and discussions**

According to the SAXS, TEM and SANS morphological studies performed on blends films obtained from styrene-diene block-copolymers and polystyrene with different molecular masses solutions established that PST with similar or lower molecular mass than the elastomers component blocks enters into the polystyrene elastomeric blocks [17-19].

The polystyrene with a higher molecular mass than that of PST blocks from the block-copolymers forms separated domains dispersed into the elastomer continuous phase [17, 20-22].

The morphological studies performed on polystyrene composites obtained by melt alloying with other incompatible polymers proved that the polystyrene as minor component of compounds is aleatory distributed into the continuous phase of the major component as domains with irregular forms. This morphology does not allow the achieving of composites with performant physical-mechanical properties [23].

In the case of styrene-diene block-copolymers reinforced with polystyrene obtained by centrifugal casting method from toluene solution, the solvent evaporation is carried out in a relative long time (3-4 h) allowing PST separation as domains with regular forms, uniform dispersed into the elastomer continuous phase [17, 20]. In this case it is expected that the physical-mechanical characteristics will present more performant values compared with the composites obtained by melt processing.

The polystyrene presence in the polybutadiene matrix leads even from the first dosage (5%) to the interphase reducing surrounding the polystyrene domains from the block-copolymer, the phenomenon being highlighted by a Yield sharp decrease, as can be seen in figure 1.

The polystyrene presence in the polybutadiene matrix leads even from the first dosage (5%) to the interphase reducing surrounding the polystyrene domains from the block-copolymer, the phenomenon being highlighted by a Yield sharp decrease, as can be seen in figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cr. no.</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>SBS</th>
<th>SIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Polystyrene content, %</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Total molecular mass, g/mole</td>
<td>177400</td>
<td>116900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Polystyrene block molecular mass, g/mole</td>
<td>14400</td>
<td>17600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Polybutadiene block molecular mass, g/mole</td>
<td>119800</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Polysoprene block molecular mass, g/mole</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Yield, MPa</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Elongation modulus at 300 %, MPa</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Tensile strength, MPa</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Elongation at break, %</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>1430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Remanent elongation, %</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Hardness, Sh A</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF STYRENE-DIENE BLOCK-COPOLYMERS

![Fig. 1. Yield variation depending on the polystyrene dosage from SBS composites](image)

Increasing the PST dosage leads to a slow decrease of composites Yield, but not to its complete disappearance indicating the maintaining of incomplete separation of the polymeric phases.

The styrene-isoprene-styrene block-copolymer and its composites do not present Yield (table 1) demonstrating a higher separation degree of polystyrene phase from polyisoprene one due to a higher incompatibility of these polymers [23].

The different separation degree of polymer phases in the case of these two block-copolymers is also evidenced by the different polystyrene glass transition temperatures values determined by DMA and DSC measurements. In figure 2 can be observed the lower values of polystyrene
Fig. 2. The variation of the glass transition temperature depending on the polystyrene dosage from SBS and SIS composites.

Fig. 3. The variation of the 300% elongation modulus depending on the polystyrene dosage from SBS and SIS composites.

Fig. 4. Tensile strength variation depending on the polystyrene dosage from SBS and SIS composites.

Fig. 5. Elongation at break variation depending on the polystyrene dosage from SBS and SIS composites.

Fig. 6. Remanent elongation variation depending on the polystyrene dosage from SBS and SIS composites.

Fig. 7. Storage modulus variation for SBS composites depending on the polystyrene dosage from SBS compared to SIS confirming the different separation degree discussed above.

The polystyrene used as reinforcing agent has a higher molecular mass than the component blocks from the block-copolymers and consequently presents a higher $T_g$ than the elastomers. Increasing PST weight in composites led to an overall increase of polystyrene phase $T_g$ of composites.

Incorporation of polystyrene into the block-copolymers polydiene phase reduces the inter-chain contact and the reinforcing effect is more and more pronounced in a direct correlation with the increasing the PST dosage in the composite material leading to a higher value of the 300% elongation modulus (fig. 3), decreasing the tensile strength (fig. 4) and the elongation at break (fig. 5) and increasing the remanent elongation (fig. 6).

It should be noted the slower decrease of the tensile strength determined for SIS composites in contrast with SBS ones (fig. 4) across the PST dosage domain indicating a greater cohesion between the component phases of these composites as a consequence of a higher interphase adhesion between polystyrene and polyisoprene compared to the polystyrene-polybutadiene one.

The change in the elastic behavior of styrene-diene block-copolymers produced by PST reinforcing is also highlighted by the storage modulus variation (DMA) depending on the filler dosage (figs. 7 and 8).

In the case of SBS composites it is noticed a decrease of the storage modulus at smaller polystyrene dosages, indicating a material elastificiation in contradiction at first sight, with the stiffening effect expected to be produced by the filler.

This initial elastification of the composite is a consequence of the change in SBS block-copolymers morphology, the first polystyrene dosages leading to a Yield drastic reduction, as mentioned before (fig. 1). The disappearance of PST bonds between the polymeric
domains improves the elastification and, in this case, the effort for elastic deformation evidenced by the storage modulus presents an important increase as can be seen in Figure 7.

Further increase of polystyrene dosage in SBS block-copolymers composites enhances the reinforcement due to the mobility decrease of polybutadiene chains [24-26] and the phenomenon is evidenced by higher values of the storage modulus.

SIS block-copolymer does not have Yield (Table 1) thus the polystyrene reinforcement effect leads to a stiffer composite highlighted by a continuous increase of the storage modulus, more accentuated at the filler first dosages (Fig. 8).

The higher values of the storage modulus for SBS block-copolymers composites are due to the greater molecular mass of polybutadiene chains and the superior entanglement degree of this phase compared to SIS polyisoprene chains.

It can be observed the drastically decrease of the storage modulus for both block-copolymers composites at temperatures about polystyrene vitreous transition throughout the reinforcing field. This composites behavior is due to the polystyrene domains melt that leads to the disappearance of physical crosslinking thus the composite material loses its elasticity becoming a viscous fluid as a whole.

The viscous behavior of block-copolymers composites is characterized by the loss modulus variation depending on the reinforcing degree with polystyrene and it can be observed in Figures 9 and 10.

In the case of SBS block-copolymers the Yield significant reduction at the first polystyrene dosages indicates a morphological change (the disappearance of polystyrene bonds between the domains) resulting in improving the elastomer phase mobility under stress and consequently to a decrease of composites viscosity, a phenomenon evidenced by the loss modulus decrease (Fig. 9).

Further increase of polystyrene dosage leads to higher values of the loss modulus due to the composite reinforcement.

Yield absence in SIS block-copolymers enables the elastomer reinforcing from the first polystyrene dosage, the composites viscosity being continuously increased as can be seen from the higher values of the loss modulus (Fig. 10).

The composites viscosity (loss modulus) of both block-copolymers decreases as the temperature increases until reaching polystyrene phase Tg when the composite softens and the viscosity presents a significant increase. At temperatures higher than polystyrene Tg the viscosity decreases sharply due to the pronounced fluidity of polystyrene blocks.

The higher loss modulus values for SBS block-copolymers composites are due to the superior molecular mass and to a stronger entanglement of polybutadiene chains as for the storage modulus.

The hardness of SBS and SIS composites increases relatively uniform with the polystyrene weight in the system following the simple charging rule of an elastic material with a harder one and no significant influence of elastomeric block nature on this property is observed according to Figure 11.

The thermal degradation behavior of composites and component polymers are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. The polymers and their composites were stabilized with 1% 2, 6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (TOPANOL OC) providing protection against thermal degradation until a temperature of about 200°C, the loss weight in this domain being below 1% according to Tables 2 and 3. TOPANOL OC has a boiling temperature of 172°C so at higher temperatures it is volatilized and cannot stabilize the composites at thermal degradation.
In the range 150-350°C the weight losses of SBS block-copolymers and their composites are lower, under 3%, because the polybutadiene chains in the first degradation step are randomly cleaving and the formed macro-radicals are stabilized by cyclization and crosslinking [27-30]. The weight loss in the range of 350-390°C are still small, of about 5%, and are due to polystyrene degradation by randomly cleavage in the first stage and then by depolymerization [27, 31].

It should be noted the independent degradation of polystyrene blocks with no significant interference in the two degradation mechanisms [27].

In the range of 390-444°C the most advanced breakdown of SBS block-copolymers composites occurs, the weight losses increasing from 39% to 53% with polystyrene dosage in the system because of its strong depolymerization.

The degradation process continues in the range of 444-457°C with a practically the same (about 20%) weight loss for all composites demonstrating the chain destruction by advanced cleavage with no transfer or cyclization reactions. Practically total (more than 99%) pyrolysis of SBS block-copolymers and of polystyrene composites occurs between 457-700 °C.

SIS block-copolymers and composites exhibit a weight loss of over 55% in the temperature range of 200-403°C (table 3) indicating an advanced material destruction mostly by polyisoprene chain cleavage [34, 35]. The weight loss decreases as the polystyrene dosage increases, a behavior that demonstrates the more advanced

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSt content (%)</th>
<th>Wt loss</th>
<th>Wt loss</th>
<th>Tmax</th>
<th>Wt loss</th>
<th>Tmax</th>
<th>Wt loss</th>
<th>Tmax</th>
<th>700°C Weight</th>
<th>Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>372.6</td>
<td>38.88</td>
<td>435.4</td>
<td>21.31</td>
<td>452.3</td>
<td>32.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>371.6</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>432.0</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>452.2</td>
<td>32.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>373.3</td>
<td>41.21</td>
<td>434.0</td>
<td>21.16</td>
<td>453.0</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>374.8</td>
<td>42.85</td>
<td>430.6</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>451.7</td>
<td>28.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>374.9</td>
<td>49.31</td>
<td>435.0</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>450.0</td>
<td>24.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>380.9</td>
<td>53.72</td>
<td>430.7</td>
<td>20.76</td>
<td>449.6</td>
<td>17.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>369.4</td>
<td>53.36</td>
<td>426.4</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>449.9</td>
<td>21.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>368.9</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>414.8</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>97.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12. Weight loss variation of SBS composites depending on the polystyrene dosage.

In the range 150-350°C the weight losses of SBS block-copolymers and their composites are lower, under 3%, because the polybutadiene chains in the first degradation step are randomly cleaving and the formed macro-radicals are stabilized by cyclization and crosslinking [27-30]. The weight loss in the range of 350-390°C are still small, of about 5%, and are due to polystyrene degradation by randomly cleavage in the first stage and then by depolymerization [27, 31].

It should be noted the independent degradation of polybutadiene chains and polystyrene blocks with no significant interference in the two degradation mechanisms [27].

In the range of 390-444°C the most advanced breakdown of SBS block-copolymers composites occurs, the weight losses increasing from 39% to 53% with
degradation of polyisoprene component compared to the polystyrene one, the two polymers degradation being independent, without an interference between the destruction mechanism [32, 33]. The total degradation of SIS block-copolymers and its composite is achieved in the range of 403-550°C, the polystyrene phase having a more important contribution at the residue increased as can be observed in table 3.

From data showed in tables 2 and 3 it can be noticed that the thermal degradation effect of block-copolymers and their polystyrene composites is manifested most strongly in the temperature range of 300-550°C. The graphical representation of temperature-related weight loss in this range allows us to observe more clearly the different behavior of the composite degradation of the two block copolymers (fig. 12 and 13).

Considering as reference polystyrene degradation it can be noticed that SBS block copolymers and their composites exhibit a superior degradation resistance (fig. 12) while SIS block-copolymers and copolymers show an inferior thermal stability (fig. 13). Increasing the polystyrene dosage decreases the thermal stability of SBS block-copolymers but has an opposite effect at SIS block-copolymer composite.

Following the thermal behavior analysis, it can assumed that SBS block-copolymer and its composites exhibit a superior thermal stability compared to virgin polystyrene and SIS block copolymers and its associated composites.

Conclusions

The study established that reinforcing the styrene-diene and isoprene block-copolymers with polystyrene by centrifugal casting from toluene solution leads to the obtaining of composites with performant properties due to the filler uniform distribution into the polydiene phase. The SBS block-copolymer showed a superior thermal stability to the SIS block-copolymer a feature that also is maintained in the case of their composites with polystyrene.
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