Textile Polypropylene Allografts and their Postoperative Tissue Reaction in the Surgery of Inguinal Hernia
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The usage of prosthetic devices in the surgery of parietal defectis nowadays, essential for a good abdominal wall recovery and prevention of the hernia recurrence. A good and suitable textile allograft should poses one or more, ideally all, of the following characteristics: pliable, strong, non-allergic and with perfect tissue integration behavior. The aim of this paper is to evaluate the immediate and long term tissue reaction of different textile allografts used in open surgery of inguinal hernia repair.
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The treatment of inguinal hernia is almost as old as the concept of medicine itself and has undergone through many key turning points up to modern days of laparoscopic procedures. Each era was marked by some key discoveries both in concepts and in development of materials [1]. Each turning point in this history left its mark and are worth mentioning: Bassini introduced the concept of hernia repair using the posterior wall of inguinal canal and thus laying the base for hernia repair under tension era and E. Shouldice proposing the imbrication of the transverse fascia and strengthening of the posterior wall of inguinal canal by four layers of fasciae and aponeuroses of oblique muscles. However only some forward thinking surgeons like Lichtenstein, that founded the era of tensionless hernia repair when the tension of sutured layers was reduced by incisions of the rectal abdominal muscle sheath or the usage of foreign materials, such as allografts [1] and the discovery of synthetic polymers by Carothers in 1935, lead to some impressive clinical results. These discoveries allowed Lichtenstein to publish his well-known study of his tensionless technique (strengthening the posterior wall of inguinal canal with prosthetic material) on a series of 1,000 operations with Mariex™ mesh without any recurrence, 5 years after surgery.

After this, many other surgeons started to publish several techniques involving allografts for inguinal hernias, such as Rene Stoppa (using a Dacron mesh situated in preperitoneal space without fixing sutures). The pinnacle of the development of surgical hernia repair was the introduction of the first laparoscopic approach in hernia repair during the 20th century by P. Fletcher, in 1979 [2].

Nowadays, the most commonly used types of meshes are: polypropylene, polyester and e-PTFE. The polypropylene allograft is the most widely used in the past 20 years due to its resistance, stability and low tissue reaction. The polyester ones are being used progressively less frequent due to a lower quality tissue integration and the e-PTFE has the main disadvantage of a higher production cost.

Many authors in most modern publications now state that good results in inguinal hernia repair can only be achieved with allografts. A good quality repair of the abdominal wall requires some key factors such as: a good quality mesh, mastering a good technique by the surgeon, choosing the right procedure tailored to the specifics of the inguinal hernia and its anatomic variant and, finally, anchoring the allograft to some good quality and strong local anatomic landmarks.

Experimental part

Our study is based on a series of 36 patients during a period of 14 months that undergone open inguinal hernia repair with the Lichtenstein II technique using polypropylene allografts (Premilene Mesh™). Polypropylene is a thermoplastic polymer with a wide array of applications. The presence of methyl in its inner composition vastly improves the mechanical and thermic behavior of the product, in the meanwhile keeping the chemical resistance low [3].

The polypropylene density ranges from 0.895 and 0.92 g/cm². It’s very flexible and resistant with a variable melting point. The perfect isostatic polypropylene has the melting point at 171°C (340°F). However, this compound is prone to degradation when exposed to heat and UV radiations. Also, the bacterial colonization may lead to polypropylene structural failure.

Porosity is another key element regarding the expected tissue reaction [4]. The pores have to be wider than 75 µm in diameter to allow a proper macrophageal, fibroblastic and new blood vessel growth, as well as to let new fibers of collagen to engulf the mesh. The wider the diameter of the pores, the lower is the risk of granulomas to be developed [5, 6].

An adult person develops an average abdominal pressure of about 170 mmHg, the allografts being designed to accommodate pressure peaks of about 180 mmHg, a key aspect to take into consideration when choosing the right type of prosthetic implants [5].

Regarding the self-weight of the meshes, there are 3 types of polypropylene allografts available on the market today: High Weight (HW): 95 g/cm²; Medium Weight (MW): 45 g/cm² and Low Weight: 28 g/cm².

We chose a mesh that belongs to the LW group, the reason behind this decision residing in several in-vivo
studies on porcine models that clearly show that after implantation in the pig for 5 months, the mean burst strength and stiffness of HW and MW meshes remains the same, however the LW meshes with an absorbable monofilament in its weave has significant reductions in mean burst strength. This further translates that, after tissue incorporation, the LW polypropylene mesh maintains mean burst strength comparable to MW polypropylene mesh, while becoming less stiff than HW mesh. On a long-term projection, this fact may contribute to a more physiological abdominal wall compliance after LW polypropylene mesh implantation [7]. Furthermore, our decision to use a LW class allograft is supported by some larger clinical trials that demonstrated that the usage of the LW meshes was associated with less feeling of a foreign body by the patient compared to using a HW mesh, what can be considered as prevalence of LW mesh hernioplasty [8].

The mesh we used is being developed with thinner threads and pores larger than 1 mm. Its specific weight is 33 g/m² and with less tissue reaction and more elastic in behavior. The elasticity of all lightweight meshes ranges between 20 – 35% at 16N/cm.

Modern biomaterials have to be both chemical and physical inert, the tissue reaction being in close relationship with the amount of foreign material inserted into the body and the diameter of the pores [9]. The physiological process that starts once the allograft is inserted could be summarized as follows: the non-developed type I collagen is being replaced rapidly by type III collagen. In the absence of a foreign material, this transformation process takes places at a much lower rate, the ratio between collagen I and III being modified [10].

The surgical procedure involved was, as stated above, the Lichtenstein II technique. Treatment of the hernia sac was performed differently, according to the type of defect - for external oblique hernias – the dissection, ligature and resection - and for direct hernias a simple invagination of the hernia sac. The prosthesis has been custom-tailored by the surgeon according to the local conditions and landmarks, the allograft used in our study being delivered by the surgeon according to the local conditions and landmarks and the anchor points were the classic ones described by the standard Lichtenstein technique (fig. 2). The surgical threads used were Nylon No. 10 (66.66%) and Prolene™ No. 3.0 and 4.0 (33.33%) being deployed in separate (83.33%) and continuous (16.66%) sutures. The hemostasis was achieved by the means of electrocautery or ligation, in the case of larger blood vessels. In all cases we provided drainage of the subaponevrotic space.

Results and discussions

![Fig. 1. Placing and custom trimming the textile allograft to suit the local anatomic landmarks](image)

![Fig. 2. The final montage](image)

The 36 patients in this study had a male to female ratio of 75% males and 25% females, this being in total accordance with the worldwide distribution for this type of surgical pathology. The mean hospitalization time was 4.4 days. The postoperative drainage was about 10 mL per 24h, being kept in place for an average of 2.6 days and had the key role of diminishing the local pressure upon the allograft but also to prevent an unwanted contamination and thus a poor tissue integration.

We had 1 case of large postoperative hematoma that required surgical reintervention without the allograft removal though.

Monitoring the patients after discharge involved abdominal ultrasound control at 1 month and 6 months respectively, the investigation being performed by the same doctor and with the same ultrasound device, in order to reduce to a minimum the artifacts and false interpretations inherent when involving different personnel with different imaging machines.

Thus, at 1-month check-up, 22.2% of patients developed seromas (without clinical signs), subcutaneous surgical thread granulomas (13.8%), granulomatous subcutaneous fibrotic band development (8.3%) and granulomatous over the mesh fibrotic band development in 5.5% of cases (figs. 3 and 4).

![Fig. 3. Postoperative seroma - ultrasound aspect](image)

![Fig. 4. Allograft and seroma. Ultrasound aspect](image)

At 6-months check-up the seromas do not show up on the ultrasound investigations, in 5.5% of cases we determined lymph node adenopathy (fig. 5) with 1 case presenting relapse of the hernia (fig. 6). In 27.7% we can still visualize the mesh but in 72.3% the allograft was not visible during the ultrasound investigation, this clearly showing that the mesh was fully integrated.

We can see a rather high percent of small-sized postoperative seromas, without clinical signs that might reside in the explanation of an enzymatic activity and in a tissue reactivation that is overrun in the immediate postoperative period. In their composition we can find lymph, proteins, blood (small amounts), fibrin, LDH, white blood cells (WBC). The presence of WBC with neutrophilia at higher values locally then in the blood stream clearly indicates a local tissue activity [10,11].

Granulomas are another type of tissue reactivity to foreign material, but, due to the small amount of it, the reaction is an organized one and somehow limited to the immediate
area of the surgical knot. The persistence at 6 months of a lymph node reaction clearly supports this theory, as it is too far away from the initial allograft-tissue contact.

Patients with the presence of seromas at the 1-month mark take about 20% of the those that at 6-months checkup the ultrasound exam still visualize the presence of the allograft, and so with a tissue integration partially completed.

The case with a hernia relapse is the one that needed surgical reintervention. Excluding the anatomical and morphopathological factors that can lead to a relapse we can now state that exposing the allograft to a supplementary septic intraoperative time or local high tension (for example hematoma) are factors just as important and can influence the structural integrity, elasticity and tissue integration of the mesh.

The tissue reactivity in the form of seromas, granulomas or lymph-node reaction is being identified in a rather large number of cases from our study and can be explained by the surgical technique, materials used and tissue properties, by the immune/inflammatory response of each and every patient, their body being the key element regarding a complete and accurate integration of the allograft at the tissue level.

Conclusions

The use of polypropylene textile allografts represents an efficient technique for restoring the anterior abdominal wall integrity. The contact of tissues with the mesh produces a local inflammatory response but the evolution towards surgical complications is limited and a delay in a full tissue integration does not translate into immediate relapse of the hernia sac. The polypropylene has physical, chemical and biological properties clearly demonstrated and has favorable quality to price ratio, being nowadays the most widely used allograft in the treatment of inguinal hernias.

Acknowledgements: This study, being a retrospective one, did not require a written consent from the patients involved. The authors declare no conflict of interests and no sponsorship was provided by the manufacturer of the mesh involved in this study. All authors have read and approved this publication and had equal scientific contribution in publishing this material.

References

3. POTECA TD, MIHAILE DE, IONESCU SO, POTECA AG, TAMPA M, MATEI C. Types of Polymeric Meshes Used to Repair Abdominal Wall Defects., Mat Plast., 50, no. 2, 2013, p.134

Manuscript received: 12.08.2016